

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/807,577	03/18/2004	John L. Goodwin	84,484	4139	
7	7590 07/07/2004		EXAMINER		
Office of Counsel Code OC4			ELDRED, JOHN W		
Naval Surface Warfare Center Indian Head Division			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
101 Strauss Ave., Bldg. D-31			3644		
Indian Head, 1	MD 20640-5035		DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 07/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	U
Office Action Summany	10/807,577	GOODWIN	10
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	J. Woodrow Eldred	3644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of (a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	s have been received		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		i i	age
application from the International Bureau	-		-90
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		t received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03182004</u> .	5) Notice of 6) Other:		,
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 3, 4, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 3 and 6, the term "balloon type airbag" is vague and indefinite, since the structural limitations implied by the term is not clear. In claims 4 and 8, the term "side curtain type airbag" is vague and indefinite, since the structural limitations implied by the term is not clear.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lewis et al in view of Schneider.

Lewis et al disclose a method "for mitigating toe strike in a cockpit of an aircraft" which includes providing an airbag module which activates upon the beginning of an ejection sequence and provides an airbag to protect a pilot's lower limbs. The airbag deployed comprises both a side and a front airbag sections. See especially Figure 6, and column 2, line 17-56. Lewis et al fail to show the airbag module for protecting the lower limbs as being positioned on an instrument panel. Schneider teaches that it is well known to provide an airbag for the protection of the lower limbs on an instrument panel of a vehicle. The Schneider airbag could be combined with Lewis et al either as a replacement for the leg protection portion of the airbag or it could supplement the airbag of Lewis et al. Motivation to

Art Unit: 3644

combine is either the added protection of more knee protection or the mere movement of the position of the airbag's undeployed position while maintaining the same deployed function. To employ the teaching of Schneider on the protection system of Lewis et al and provide an airbag in the claimed positions on an instrument panel is considered to have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gee, Sr. is cited as being of interest since it disclose an airbag protection system.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6. examiner should be directed to J. Woodrow Eldred whose telephone number is 703-306-4151. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 703-306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. Woodrow Eldred

Art Unit 3644